

The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company – Milwaukee, WI

2015 AAIM TRIENNIAL

INTRODUCTION TO DISABILITY INSURANCE

(UNDERWRITING AND CLAIMS)

Joel Weiner, MD, FACP
October 18, 2015

Major Differences Between Life Insurance and Disability Insurance

| Issue | Life Insurance | Disability Insurance |
|--|---|---|
| Length of existence/ experience | ~1800's | ~ mid 1900's (1960) |
| Perspective / Focus | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Histories: bursitis, tendonitis, who cares negative evals gen. favorable • Medications-NSAIDs, who cares • Occupation-less relevant • Wider demographic: pediatric and individuals > 65 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Histories: bursitis, tendonitis—DI cares negative evals (functional) • Medications-NSAIDs- DI cares • Occupation-very relevant • Ages 18-65 (in general) |
| Sources of Underwriting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical Requirements [<i>medical history questionnaire, blood, urine, saliva, medical records, paramedical and medical exams, EKG, GXT</i>] • Unique Life Requirements: functional assessment in older individuals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical Requirements [<i>medical history questionnaire, blood, urine, saliva, medical records, paramedical and medical exams, EKG, GXT</i>] |

Major Differences Between Life Insurance and Disability Insurance (cont.)

| Issue | Life Insurance | Disability Insurance |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Standard Setting</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-established mortality methodology • Clinical literature can be very helpful • Significant amount of available data on common underwriting issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No universally accepted morbidity methodology • Clinical literature is not as beneficial as in life insurance • In general, less data is available due to less years in existence as well as fewer morbidity studies |
| <p>Factors affecting claims</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health • Avocations • Accidents | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health • Avocations • Accidents <li style="text-align: center;">PLUS • Occupational duties • Financial factors (loss of income) • General economy • Contract language • Motivation • Job satisfaction |

Major Differences Between Life Insurance and Disability Insurance (cont.)

| Issue | Life Insurance | Disability Insurance |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Outcome | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 possible outcome, 1-time only • Endpoint is well-defined and straightforward | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple potential endpoints (partial, total, total for own occupation) • Individual can come in on claim more than once for the same or different impairments • Endpoint is not always clear or straightforward |
| Who Benefits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Income replacement for beneficiary/ies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Income replacement for the individual (in general) • Potential for secondary gain |

Major Differences Between Life Insurance and Disability Insurance (cont.)

| Issue | Life Insurance | Disability Insurance |
|---------|---|---|
| MD role | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Underwriting:</u> Assessing the mortality risk of health impairments, signs/symptoms, test results through standard setting and case consultations • <u>Claims:</u> Very limited claim involvement-- suicide, accidental, early payment benefit | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Underwriting:</u> Assess the morbidity risk of health impairments, signs/symptoms, test results through standard setting and case consultations • <u>Claims:</u> Assess whether there is medical support of limitations/restrictions A different MD may perform the underwriting function and claims function |

DI UNDERWRITING

DI Underwriting - General

- Products include individual (IDI), multi-life, DOE (disability overhead expense), Buyout, Keyperson, Group, Guaranteed Issue
- Beginning Date (BD): 31, 61, 91, 181, 366 days
- Typical duration to age 65 or 70
- Common benefits include:
 - APB (additional purchase benefit): the applicant can increase the benefit amount by a given value, provided they financially qualify
 - FIB (Future Increase Benefit): benefit amount increases when not on claim (commonly 3-6%, cost-of-living adjustment)
 - IIB (Indexed Income Benefit): benefit amount increases when on claim (commonly 3-6%, cost-of-living adjustment)

Potential Medical Underwriting Actions

- Standard (Best class)
- **Ratings:** extra premium charge; may or may not impact the benefit period
- **Medical exclusion rider:** contract provision that limits disability coverage for a well-defined morbidity risk, e.g., lumbosacral spine rider (no extra premium charge)
- Combination of ratings and rider/s
- Decline

Ratings vs. Riders

Riders

- Medical Exclusion Riders: contract provision that exclude a specific medical risk from coverage
- Riders do not incur an extra premium charge

Ratings

- An extra premium charge: the higher the rating, the higher the charge
- Ratings can be associated with limited benefit periods (commonly 5 year and 2 year)

Medical Exclusion Riders

- Used for well-defined, stable conditions and/or localized findings, e.g., biopsy-proven Barrett's esophagus, cataract
- Symptoms should be well evaluated, stable with limited or no treatment
- Complications of the condition should be covered under the umbrella of the rider, e.g., Barrett's-- esophageal cancer,
- In general, only the condition and currently affected area can be ridered.
Example: musculoskeletal conditions
- Need to consider relationship between the rider and its occupational impact, e.g., dentist with CTS [*is a rider alone sufficient?*]

Medical Exclusion Riders (Cont.)

Examples Where Riders Work Well

- Stable, biopsy-proven Barrett's esophagus
- Isolated, senile cataract
- Well-controlled, stable low back pain

Example Where Riders Typically Don't Work

- Functional disorders (IBS, fibromyalgia, vestibular hypofunction)
- "Symptoms in Search of a Diagnosis"

Reconsideration on Cases with Adverse Underwriting Action (Rating, Rider, Declination)

Factors to Consider

- . Natural History: Isolated vs. recurrent intermittent vs. chronic
- . Duration of symptoms when active
- . Severity of symptoms when active
- . Relationship of risk to the occupation
- . Degree of diagnostic findings (e.g., x-ray findings, biopsy findings)
- . Presence of co-morbid conditions

Reconsideration (Continued)

Reconsideration

- . If reconsideration can be offered, when to offer (how many years)
- . Terms of Reconsideration [explicit]
 - ❖ Interim history
 - ❖ Symptom and treatment-free
 - ❖ Symptom free on a stable treatment regimen
 - ❖ Interim testing
 - ❖ Interim visit to a specialist

DI CLAIMS

Who Determines Disability?

- **The “claims” MD?**
- **The treating MD?**
- **The affected individual?**
- **The disability claims analyst?**

Restrictions versus Limitations

Restrictions are Risk-Related

- Recommend avoidance or modification of principal duties based upon **probable** recurrence, exacerbation or progression of diseases if duties are resumed [“imminent risk”]

Limitations are Loss-Related

- Inability to perform a principal duty due to disease/injury

Restrictions versus Limitations (Cont.)

Restrictions

Activities the individual **“should not”** do
(**Safety threat to self or others**)

- ❖ **New onset seizures-driving**
- ❖ **Occupational asthma-exposure to the inciting agent**
- ❖ **Syncope of unclear etiology-- flying**

Restrictions versus Limitations (Cont.)

Limitations

Activities the individual “cannot” do

- ❖ **Amputation of dominant UE-surgery**
- ❖ **Acquired monocular blindness-cardiothoracic surgery**
- ❖ **Traumatic brain injury with documented significant cognitive impairment-- any job requiring executive functioning**

Disability Claims Algorithm

**BASIS OF CLAIM
DIAGNOSIS(ES)**

Treating physician(s)
Credentials / Speciality
Affiliated Hospital
Support limitations/restrictions

BLUE → **Strategy for Approaching
Medical Impairments**

RED → **Claims Management Issues**

**SYMPTOMS [SELF-REPORTED
COMPLAINTS]**

Are these consistent with the diagnosis?

CLINICAL / OBJECTIVE FINDINGS

Are these consistent with the diagnosis?

- A. Physical Exam
 - B. Diagnostic tests and dates done
- Have appropriate studies been ordered?
- Labs
 - X-rays
 - Functional Studies e.g., PFT's, GXT's
 - Biopsies
 - Colonoscopies, EGD's
 - EMG's

SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS / RESTRICTIONS
w/r/t Job Duties

- Pre-morbid activities
- Current activities

Are these limitations /restrictions reasonable given the diagnosis, self-reported complaints AND clinical findings?

SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS
w/r/t Non-Occupational activities [ADL's, hobbies, volunteer activities]

- Pre-morbid activities
- Current activities

Are these limitations reasonable given the diagnosis, self-reported complaints AND clinical findings?
Consistent with occ L/R's?

INTERVENTION

- Is treatment adequate?
- Has appropriate treatment been instituted?
- Reasons for treatment failure

REFERRAL TO OTHER PHYSICIANS

- Specialty/Credentials
- Does the Consultant agree with the original diagnosis?
- What is their impression?
- Are other tests recommended?
- Are other treatments recommended?
- Are other referrals recommended?

RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWED?
Successful?

RECOMMENDATIONS NOT FOLLOWED?
Why not?

SURGERY

PERFORMED

Successful?
If not successful, why not?

RECOMMENDED BUT NOT PERFORMED

Why not?

MEDICATIONS

- Type
- Dosage
- Efficacy
- Compliance
- Recommended changes
- Toxicities / Side effects

THERAPY

- Type e.g., Physical, Occupational, Cognitive
- Frequency
- Efficacy/ Progress
- Compliance
- Does the therapist's evaluation & progress reports support limitations?

FOLLOW-UP

HOW IS THE IMPAIRMENT BEING MONITORED?

- Tests
- Office Visits? Frequency?

Is there continued support of the diagnosis?
Is there continued support of the limitations?
Is the duration of limitations consistent with the impairment?
Is "follow-up" adequate?

Claim Tools Used to Assess Disability

- Phone interview with the claimant
- Claimant's forms [disability claim kit, continuance forms, symptom and activity logs]
- Formal job description
- Medical Records
- Attending Physician's Statements (APSSs)
- Pharmacy scans
- Clarification from treating MD (MD-MD phone call/written responses from treating MD)

Claim Tools Used to Assess Disability (cont.)

- Metrics to quantify work duties/hours (CPT codes, billable hours, employer letter)
- Interviews between home office and the claimant
- Paper review with appropriate expert
- Independent Medical Exam (IME)
- Surveillance (independent verification of activities)
- SIU (Special Investigative Unit)—court records
- Tax returns

DI Claims Guidelines

- The disability claims doc does not own the claims decision; opines on limitations/restrictions, speaks to the evidence and provides medical rationale for their opinion
- Disability claims doc-know your limitations—do not opine on issues outside of your expertise
- Disability claims doc-keep responses neutral, direct and address the questions being asked
- “Can’t do what because of what?” or “restricted from doing what because of what?”
- Most individuals want to work
- A diagnosis is not synonymous with limitations
- Lack of a diagnosis does not equate to “absence” of limitations

DI Claims Guidelines (cont.)

- Don't limit the focus to the accuracy of the diagnostic label, assess the severity of the symptoms
- Disability is contextual (e.g., tremor)
- Medical records may not provide sufficient detail/quantification to assess the need for limitations-need to use the other tools to seek clarification
 - ❖ Corollary: “limiting symptoms” should be documented in the medical record
- **CONSISTENCY** is vital
 - ❖ In general, limitations that impact occupational activities will impact non-occupational activities (consistency)
 - ❖ Reported, expected and observed behaviors should be consistent

DI Claims Guidelines (cont.)

- **CONSISTENCY** is vital - *continued*
 - ❖ The level of care and treatment should be consistent with the severity of the condition/symptoms
 - ❖ Reasonable accommodations/simple remedies should be pursued
 - ❖ There should be consistency across providers if more than one is being seen
 - ❖ In general, the expert for the “limiting condition” should be completing the paperwork and addressing the need for restrictions/limitations

Corollary: Inconsistencies need to be clarified/resolved